This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

# CONSOLIDATED CONSULTATION RESPONSES

## R0102 - REVISION OF THE APPROVED SOLUTION FOR R0032

On 14 April 2023 the Code Manager issued a consultation to industry to seek feedback on the Preliminary Change Report for R0102.

The purpose of this document is to consolidate the responses and for the Code Manager to answer any questions raised within those responses.

| | |
|---|---|
| **DOCUMENT VERSION** | 1.0 |
| **RESPONSE TYPE** | NON-CONFIDENTIAL |
| **DATE ISSUED** | 24/04/2023 |
| **RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE** | TECHNICAL EXPERT PANEL |
| **LEAD ANALYST** | GEMMA DIXON |

| | |
|---|---|
| **DATE CONSULTATION ISSUED** | 14/04/2023 |
| **RESPONSE DEADLINE** | 21/04/2023 |

| Question 1: | Do you agree, with the Code Manager's Recommendation that this change should be implemented on 30 June 2023? | |
|---|---|---|
| **RESPONDENT DETAILS** | **RESPONSE** | **CODE MANAGER COMMENTS** |
| BUUK<br>(IDNO) | Yes – we agree. | Thank you for your response. |
| Northern Powergrid<br>(DNO) | We support a June 2023 go live, in order to meet the timescale our service provider will develop at risk ahead of the industry approval | Thank you for your response. |
| National Grid<br>(DNO) | We agree with the Code Manager's Recommendation that this Change Proposal should be implemented on 30th June 2023.<br>However, this is dependent upon receiving the proposed MPRS R8.2.1 patch in good time from our Service Provider.<br><br>Please note that our Service Provider has advised that MPRS R8.2 has already been made available to LDSOs for install. A patch is required to implement R0102. There is sufficient time for SCS to Develop and Test the release, providing the requirements are not extended beyond the proposed solution.<br><br>To Support a June 2023 go live our Service Provider has also advised that MPRS R8.2.1 will need to be developed at risk ahead of industry approval.<br><br>A delay to November 2023 would impact the MHHS R9.0 Release, likely causing a delay to MHHS Programme level 1 milestones. | The Code Manager acknowledges an MPRS Patch will be required. The Service Provider has confirmed that a patch will be provided. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scottish Power Energy Networks (DNO) | SPEN are supportive of the 30th June Implementation date | Thank you for your response. |
| Scottish Power (MEM) | Yes. Further to our previous response we should now be able to deliver this change by 30th June 2023. | Thank you for your response. |
| SMS Plc (MEM) | Yes, we agree that this change should be implemented on 30th June 2023 | Thank you for your response. |
| Siemens (MEM) | No, this is a change to R0032 which was due for implementation 30th June 2023. R0102 changes are delaying work on R0032 and that delay ought to be reflected in a new implementation date to cover the lost time. E.g. if R0102 took 1 month to raise and conclude then that would mean R0032 would be implementation 30th July 2023. | We acknowledge the short lead time for implementation however the benefits of delivering R0032 would be seen at the earliest opportunity to support the MHHS Programme, therefore the Code Manager has retained the 30 June 2023 implementation date. |
| EON (Supplier) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| EDF (Supplier) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |

| Question 2: | Do you agree with the proposed solution? If not, please explain why? | |
|---|---|---|
| **RESPONDENT DETAILS** | **RESPONSE** | **CODE MANAGER COMMENTS** |
| BUUK (IDNO) | Yes – we agree. | Thank you for your response. |
| Northern Powergrid (DNO) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| National Grid (DNO) | Yes we agree with the proposed solution. | Thank you for your response. |
| Scottish Power Energy Networks (DNO) | We are supportive of the proposed solution. | Thank you for your response. |
| Scottish Power (MEM) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| SMS Plc (MEM) | Yes, we agree with the proposed solution. | Thank you for your response. |
| Siemens (MEM) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| EON (Supplier) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| EDF (Supplier) | Yes, we agree, except from the data flow structure as it is still incorrect.<br><br>The groups 76M and 77M cannot be 0-0. These group should be corrected in line with live version 2 of D0312. | Thank you for your response. The redline text that includes Scenario Variants splits out each of the source/target combinations as per the REC format. These reflect the practical application whereby the 76M and 77M groups are not sent by the MEM to the |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | ERDA but are sent by the ERDA to the MEM and Supplier. Within the legacy 'DTC' format these groups will be shown as per V002 of the D0312.<br>Change Proposal R0032 makes changes to SV00258 and SV00259 but R0102 is only updating the rule that is present in SV00256 Scenario Variants.<br><br>For the purpose of the Final Change Report, the Code Manager has included a combined document which shows all changes being made by R0032 and R0102. |

| **Question 3:** | Do you agree with the Code Manager's assessment of the Change Path, that this Change Proposal should be subject to Self-Governance approval by the Technical Expert Panel? | |
|---|---|---|
| **RESPONDENT DETAILS** | **RESPONSE** | **CODE MANAGER COMMENTS** |
| BUUK<br>(IDNO) | Yes – we agree. | Thank you for your response. |
| Northern Powergrid<br>(DNO) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| National Grid<br>(DNO) | Yes we agree with the Code Managers assessment of the Change Path | Thank you for your response. |
| Scottish Power Energy Networks<br>(DNO) | SPEN have no issue with this approach. | Thank you for your response. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scottish Power (MEM) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| SMS Plc (MEM) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| Siemens (MEM) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| EON (Supplier) | Yes | Thank you for your response. |
| EDF (Supplier) | Yes, we agree. | Thank you for your response. |

| **Question 4:** | Do you have any other comments relating to this Change Proposal? | |
|---|---|---|
| **RESPONDENT DETAILS** | **RESPONSE** | **CODE MANAGER COMMENTS** |
| BUUK (IDNO) | No | Thank you for your response. |
| Northern Powergrid (DNO) | N/A | Thank you for your response. |
| National Grid (DNO) | The Market Message MM00256 – D0312 Notification of Meter Information to MPAS -<br>The Notes state “See Annex C for Flow Notes” – this should be removed as “Annex C” no longer exists. | Annex C has been provided on the Data Specification Dashboard as it was noted to be critical for Parties to design Market Messages. The Code Manager is investigating where this information should be stored within the REC on an enduring basis. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scottish Power Energy Networks (DNO) | none | Thank you for your response. |
| Scottish Power (MEM) | No | Thank you for your response. |
| SMS Plc (MEM) | - | Thank you for your response. |
| Siemens (MEM) | No | Thank you for your response. |
| EON (Supplier) | We are broadly supportive of the proposed changes within the change proposal to maintain the version number and determine the number of register reads used by meter type, though we have identified one potential risk with the approach within the SMETS specifications. The initial ESME SMETS2v1 specifications did not explicitly state the number of digits required to be used by the device. Later editions do, for example in section 5.5.4.1iii of SMETS2v3, though as it was not in earlier SMETS versions this could lead to this not being adhered to in the early rollout stages which might in-turn impact MHHS migration. | The Code Manager acknowledges the risk and has raised with the MHHS Programme. |
| EDF (Supplier) | Please see our comments in question 2 about incorrect design of D0312 version 3 (Groups 76M and 77M) | Thank you for your response. |